

## Design and Implementation

### MEETING ACTION SHEET

Name of Group: Design & Implementation Meeting Agenda		Present: Kass Vande, Mark Vande, Erich Pfiffner, Pat Able, Tom Knapp, Bob DeWolf, Sandy Habecker, Mike Sullivan, Judy Ruddick, David Riekskins, Penny Shockley		
DATE: 3/11/08				
Agenda Items	Discussion	Action Required	Responsibility (Who)	Completion Date
1. 2/12/08 Site Visit Review	<p>Mark and John laid out the boundaries based on old village survey. Concerns about right of way need to be clarified. Discussion of exploring purchasing CC as a possibility of enhancing project and waterfront access.</p> <p>Penny called Mike S. &amp; Art W. there is not enough time for village to purchase. Village needs to give one week notice for special meeting. If private owners bought the property there could be no guaranty the Village would purchase back.</p>	None		
2. Legal Issues- ROW	<p>Penny called Art. Williams re. ROW Cary's Cove parking lot egress &amp; regress. Can't claim or block drive or ROW but improvements are ok. Art worked w/ Ketchum's against federal govt. re. ROW and lost.</p> <p>ROW parallel to waterfront in the middle of property. No one owns the walk through. Art needs abstract and survey to give a definite answer. Mike showed on tax map where the ROW actually is. It is 20' wide</p>	Order survey and get abstract to Art	Mike	March

Agenda Items	Discussion	Action Required	Responsibility (Who)	Completion Date
<p><b>3. Village acquisition of waterfront property</b></p>	<p><b>Carey's Cove Property Update:</b>            Jamie A. and Bob D. attended CC auction. Jamie had called bank. Purchaser needs 10% down and 30 days to come up with the balance. No one bid. Starting price was \$212 with water liens and taxes. Bank bought property back. Bank did not disclose lien amounts.            Penny attended the 2/20 Planning meeting re motel plan on CC property. Property is zoned correctly. Planning approved on a very preliminary basis; more specifics are needed. A motel would fit the objectives of the LWRP. Performance bond would be considered to ensure building would agree with plan presented to Planning.            D&amp;I Committee agreed SP could benefit from motel. Parking in that location might be an issue. Motel would not compete with B&amp;Bs.            Pro's- of CC: dockage; ramp; preserves waterfront view and access; eliminates parking barriers/ROW barriers; adjacent to village property. If purchased could re sell restaurant to offset cost.            Con's- cost- not deep water, might need to be dredged; Would need to apply to DEC for maintenance contract to dredge that area.</p>	<p>Get name of bank contact to Mike.             Call bank to clarify cost, liens and terms.             Explore town, county and village to see if taxes and water could be waived             Review exploring purchase of waterfront with dockage w/ Village Board to get read if they want to explore either Cary's or Danzig property</p>	<p>Jamie             Mike             Mike             Mike</p>	<p>March 14             "             March             March</p>

Agenda Items	Discussion	Action Required	Responsibility (Who)	Completion Date
<p>4. Village acquisition of waterfront property cont.</p>	<p>Pier Point East -Danzig property Village/YC/SBJSA Collaboration. Mike S said there had been some informal meetings but no commitment was made on Danzig property. Craig was asking too much. Mike feels less than 50% probability to go forward. Village would own and YC/SBJSA would have life lease on docks. Starting price was \$450K now down to \$350K. Cost would be split in thirds @ \$117 per. SBJSA currently has ROW to YC docks/ramp.</p> <p>Pro's-deep water; possible Harbor Management Station. Con's cost; no parking; no docks; no building; no ramps. Discussed economic impact on village of both locations; fishing- small boat usage as opposed to sailing usage. D&amp;I group agreed we need to move forward with developing plan and consider options of waterfront and dockage as we go along.</p>	<p>Call John about status of Danzig property.</p> <p>Contact Sharon Lila, Planning &amp; Christine Worth, Tourism for economic impact stats</p>	<p>Mike</p> <p>Penny &amp; Tom K.</p>	
<p>5. Legal procedure for purchasing</p>	<p>Penny called Art Williams. No public hearing is necessary if Village (not Town) is purchaser. If you need to borrow \$ or take funds from the capital reserve you would need a public permissive referendum if 100 people petitioned for referendum.</p>	<p>None</p>	<p>Penny</p>	<p>Done</p>

Agenda Items	Discussion	Action Required	Responsibility (Who)	Completion Date
<p><b>6. Architectural Plans</b></p>	<p>Kass discussed the need for hiring a certified Landscape Architect to develop a blue print/design of what we want. This will include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. A schematic design for planning including lay out material.</li> <li>2. Construction drawing documents and specs including instruction and materials.</li> <li>3. Master plan including a signage guide, benches, trash and other items</li> <li>4. Stage plan includes, surveys, accurate base information, location of structures, drainage, property lines, light fixtures</li> </ol> <p>Kass contacted Peter Trowbridge &amp; Jill Marshall for advice. Peter estimated cost to do a plan for a small municipality would be @ 16-20K.</p> <p>Most of D&amp;I group felt this was too slow and too much of an investment. D&amp;I needs to provide more substance to design. Need to go through the targeted location step by step and specify what we want. Premature to hire L/A</p> <p>Erich stated if we have a general plan a contractor could draw up plans for us to tweak.</p> <p>Jim Van Enwick was mentioned.</p> <p>Mark lead a brainstorm session of what our plan should include. Start with low cost improvements for immediate benefits. Plan design for implementation in stages.</p>	<p><b>Items for discussion at next meeting:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Benches</li> <li>• Pathway Function-pedestrian, strollers Surface- concrete, stone-dust Size-5'</li> <li>• Lighting</li> <li>• Drainage - H Point, Ball field</li> <li>• Round about</li> <li>• Plantings</li> <li>• Trees</li> <li>• Signage</li> <li>• Parking-surface, size, location, cars, trailers, boats</li> <li>• Curbing</li> </ul> <p><b>Define Priorities:</b></p> <p>What do we do first What can the Village Crew do What need to be contracted Affordability Infrastructure Needs</p>	<p>Everyone</p>	<p>Next meeting</p>
<p><b>5. Grants</b></p>	<p>Penny called Sharon Lilla. If want to pursue the Carey Cove Waterfront or PP East we would be eligible for the LWRP grant that covers 50% of the cost. There is currently \$ available.</p> <p>Mark checked the NYSCA site. Probably not a good fit</p>	<p>None</p>	<p>Penny</p>	<p>Done</p>

Agenda Items	Discussion	Action Required	Responsibility (Who)	Completion Date
6. Green Way Trail	Penny met with Dave Sloan, Director of Wayne County B&G. There is hopefully some contingency \$ left from the Bath House project to go toward signage, benches and trash receptacles for the County project. He will defer to our schematic plan before the county orders.	Not discussed/ Cover at next meeting	Penny	
7. Clock	Location, ordering, time frame for installation.	Not discussed/Cover at next meeting	Kass	
9.Planning Board	Tom Knapp asked Penny to attend 2/20 PB meeting to discuss progress of D&I so far. PB is supportive of plan and wanted to support our efforts. Tom K. stated that Harbor Management feels that there is "up-side capacity" on the Bay and except for some room for additional boats to be moored at private docks/moorings, any other increase boat traffic would most likely come from boats launched at boat ramps and the HMP recommends adding boat ramp capacity. One suggestion was to make improvements to Harriman Park, the other was to find a location at the South East end of the Bay. Tom K. stated Planning Board not supportive of additional ramp capacity being added to the Bay. That position was borne out of our dissatisfaction with the current situation at Harriman Park where overflow parking becomes ridiculous and a real safety concern on busy weekends.			
10. Pit Stop	Judy R. stated Pit Stop is supportive of improvements and is not opposed to Village making improvements on ROW. Prefers trees not to obstruct view of building. Is negotiable about signage and efforts to slow traffic.	None		

**Next Meeting Date:  
March 25 7PM Village Hall**